

PUBLISHED EVERY FRIDAY AT
SALEM, COLUMBIANA CO., OHIO.
By the Executive Committee of the Western
Anti-Slavery Society.

JAMES BARNABY, Jr. Publishing Agent.

BENJAMIN S. JONES, ³ EDITORS.
J. ELIZABETH JONES, ³ EDITORS.

Printed for the Executive Committee by
G. N. HAPGOOD.

Extracts from the Speech of Henry Clay,
*At the Mass Meeting at Lexington, Kentucky,
on Saturday, November 13th, 1847.*

After the organization of the Meeting, Mr. Clay rose and addressed it substantially as follows:

LADIES AND GENTLEMEN:

The day is dark and gloomy, unsightly and uncertain, like the condition of our country, in regard to the unnatural war with Mexico. The public mind is agitated and anxious, and is filled with serious apprehensions as to its indefinite continuance, and especially as to the consequences which its termination may bring forth, menacing the harmony, if not the existence, of our Union.

It is under these circumstances, I present myself before you. No ordinary occasion would have drawn me from the retirement in which I live; but whilst a single pulsation of the human heart remains, it should, if necessary, be dedicated to the service of one's country. And I have hoped that, although I am a private and humble citizen, an expression of the views and opinions I entertain, might form some little addition to the general stock of information, and afford a small assistance in delivering our country from the peril and dangers which surround it.

We are informed by a statement which is apparently correct, that the number of our countrymen slain in this lamentable Mexican war, altogether it has yet been of only 18 months existence, is equal to one half of the whole of the American loss during the seven years war of the Revolution! And I venture to assert that the expenditure of treasure which it has occasioned, when it shall come to be fairly ascertained and footed up, will be found to be more than half of the pecuniary cost of the war of our Independence. And this is the condition of the party whose arms have been every where and constantly victorious.

How did we unhappily get involved in this war? It was predicted as the consequence of the annexation of Texas to the United States. The people were told that if that event happened, war would ensue. They were told that the war between Texas and Mexico had been terminated by a treaty of peace; that Mexico still claimed Texas as a revolted province; and that, if we received Texas in our Union, we took along with her the war existing between her and Mexico. And the Minister of Mexico formally announced to the Government at Washington, that his nation would consider the annexation of Texas to the United States as producing a state of war. But all this was denied by the partisans of annexation. They insisted we should have no war, and even imputed to those who foretold it, sinister motives for their groundless predictions.

But notwithstanding a state of virtual war necessarily resulted from the fact of annexation of one of the belligerents to the United States, actual hostilities might have been averted by prudence, moderation, and wise statesmanship. If General Taylor had been permitted to remain where his own good sense prompted him to believe he ought to remain, at the point of Corpus Christi; and if a negotiation had been opened with Mexico in a true spirit of amity and conciliation, war possibly might have been prevented.—But, instead of this pacific and moderate course, whilst Mr. Slidell was wending his way towards Mexico with his diplomatic credentials, Gen. Taylor was ordered to transport his cannon and to plant them in a war-like attitude opposite to Matamoras, on the east bank of the Rio Bravo, within the very disputed territory, the adjustment of which was to be the object of Mr. Slidell's mission. What else could have transpired but a conflict of arms?

Thus the war commenced, and the President, after having produced it, appealed to Congress. A bill was proposed to raise 50,000 volunteers, and in order to commit all who should vote for it, a preamble was inserted falsely attributing the commencement of the war to the act of Mexico. I have no doubt of the patriotic motives of those who, after struggling to divest the bill of that flagrant error, found themselves constrained to vote for it. But I must say that no earthly consideration would have ever tempted or provoked me to vote for a bill with a palpable falsehood stamped on its face. Almost idolizing truth, as I do, I never, never, could have voted for that bill.

How totally variant is the present war!—This is no war of defense, but one of unnecessary and of offensive aggression. It is Mexico that is defending her firesides, her castles and her altars, not we. And how different also is the conduct of the Whig party of the present day from that of the major part of the Federal party during the war of 1812! Far from interposing any obstacles to the prosecution of the war, if the Whigs in office are reprehensible at all, it is for having lent too ready a facility to it, without careful examination into the objects of the war. And, out of office, who have resided to the prosecution of the war with more ardor and acerbity than the Whigs? Whose hearts have bled more freely than those of the Whigs? Who have had more occasion to mourn the loss of sons, husbands, brothers, fathers, than whig parents, whig wives, and whig brothers; in this deadly and unprofitable strife?

Shall this war be presented for the purpose of conquering and annexing Mexico in all its boundless extent to the United States? I will not attribute to the President of the United States any such design; but I confess that I have been shocked and alarmed by manifestations of it in various quarters.—Of all the dangers and misfortunes which could befall this nation, I should regard that of its becoming a war-like and conquering

ANTI-SLAVERY BUGLE.

"NO UNION WITH SLAVEHOLDERS."

VOL. 3.—NO. 19.

SALEM, OHIO, FRIDAY, DECEMBER 17, 1817.

WHOLE NO. 123.

power the most direful and fatal. History tells the mournful tale of conquering nations and conquerors. The three most celebrated conquerors in the civilized world, were Alexander, Caesar and Napoleon.

Supposing the conquest to be once possible, what is to be done with it? Is it to be governed, like Roman Provinces, by Proconsuls? Would it be compatible with the genius, character, and safety of our free institutions, to keep such a great country as Mexico with a population of not less than nine millions, in a state of constant military subjection?

Should it be annexed to the United States? Does any considerate man believe it possible that two such immense countries, with territories of nearly equal extent, with populations so incongruous, so different in race, in language, in religion and in laws, could be blended together in one harmonious mass, and happily governed by one common authority?

Murmurs, discontent, insurrections, rebellions, would inevitably ensue, until the incompatible parts would be broken asunder, and, possibly, in the frightful struggle, our present glorious Union itself would be dissolved. We ought not to forget the warning voice of all history, which teaches the difficulty of combining and consolidating together conquering and conquered nations.

Religion has been the fruitful cause of dissatisfaction and discontent between the Irish and English nations. Is there not reason to apprehend that it would become so between the people of the United States and those of Mexico, if they were united together? Why should we seek to interfere with them in their mode of worship of a common Savior?

We believe that they are wrong, especially in the exclusive character of their faith, and that we are right. They think that they are right and we wrong. What other rule can there be than to leave the followers of each religion to their own solemn convictions of conscientious duty towards God? Who, but the great Arbitrator of the Universe, can judge in such a question?

For my own part, I sincerely believe and hope those who belong to all the departments of the great church of Christ, if in truth and purity they conform to the doctrines which they profess, will ultimately secure an abode in those regions of bliss, which all aim finally to reach. I think that there is no potential Europe, whatever his religion may be, more enlightened, or, as this progress is interesting as the liberal head of the Papal See.

And who can foresee or foretell, if Mexico, voluntarily or by force, were to share in the common government what would be the consequences to her or to us? Unprepared, as I fear her population yet is, for the practical enjoyment of self-government, and of habits, customs, language, laws and religion, so totally different from our own, we should present the revolting spectacle of a confused, distracted, and motley government.

We should have a Mexican party, a Pacific Ocean party, an Atlantic party, in addition to other parties which exist, or with which we are threatened, each striving to execute its own particular views and purposes, and reproaching the others with thwarting and disappointing them.

The Mexican representation, in Congress, would probably form a separate and impenetrable corps, always ready to throw itself into the scale of any other party, to advance and promote Mexican interests. Such a state of things could not long endure. Those whom God and Geography have pronounced should live asunder, could never be permanently and harmoniously united together.

Do we want for our own happiness or greatness, the addition of Mexico to the existing Union of our States? If our population was too dense for our territory, and there was a difficulty of obtaining honorable means of subsistence, there might be some excuse for an attempt to enlarge our dominions.—But we have no such apology. We have already in our glorious country, a vast and almost boundless territory. Beginning at the North in the frozen regions of the British Provinces, it stretches thousands of miles along the coasts of the Atlantic Ocean and the Mexican Gulf, until it almost reaches the Tropics.

It is a philanthropic and consoling reflection that the moral and physical condition of the African race in the United States, even in a state of slavery, is far better than it would have been if their ancestors had never been brought from their native land. And if it should be the decree of the Great Ruler of the Universe that their descendants shall be made instruments in his hands in the establishment of Civilization and the Christian Religion throughout Africa, our regrets, on account of the original wrong, will be greatly mitigated.

The long series of glorious triumphs, achieved by our gallant commanders and their brave armies, unassisted by a single reverse, justify us, without the least danger of tarnishing the national honor, in disinterestedly holding out the olive branch of peace. We do not want the mines, the mountains, the morasses, and the sterile lands of Mexico. To her the loss of them would be humiliating, and be a perpetual source of regret and mortification. To us as they might prove a fatal acquisition, producing distraction, disension, division, probably disunion. Let, therefore, the integrity of the national existence and national territory of Mexico remain undisturbed.

Among the resolutions, which it is my intention to present for your consideration, at the conclusion of this address, one proposes,

in your behalf and mine to disavow, in the most positive manner, any desire, on our part, to acquire any foreign territory whatever, for the purpose of introducing slavery into it. I do not know that any citizen of the United States entertains such a wish; But such a motive has often been imputed to the slave States, and I therefore think it necessary to notice it on this occasion. My opinions on the subject of slavery are well known. They have the merit, if it be one, of consistency, uniformity, and long duration. I have ever regarded slavery as a great evil, a wrong, for the present, I fear, an irreconcileable wrong, in its natural state. I should rejoice if not a single slave breached the air over within the limits of our country. But here they are, to be dealt with, as we can, with a due consideration of all circumstances effecting the security, safety and happiness of both races. Every State has the supreme, uncontrolled and exclusive power to decide for itself whether slavery shall cease or continue within its limits, without any exterior intervention from any quarter.

Should it be annexed to the United States? Does any considerate man believe it possible that two such immense countries, with territories of nearly equal extent, with populations so incongruous, so different in race, in language, in religion and in laws, could be blended together in one harmonious mass, and happily governed by one common authority?

Murders, discontent, insurrections, rebellions, who would think of perpetrating the folly of casting Texas out of the confederation, and throwing her back upon her own independence, or into the arms of Mexico? Who would now seek to divorce her from the Union? Two Creek and the Cherokee Indians were, by the most excepcional means, driven from their country, and transported beyond the Mississippi River. Their lands have been fairly purchased and occupied by the inhabitants of Georgia, Alabama, Mississippi and Tennessee. Who would now conceive of the flagrant injustice of expelling the inhabitants and restoring the Indian country to the Cherokees and the Creek, under color of repairing original injustice? During the war of our revolution, millions of paper money were issued by our ancestors, as the only currency with which they could achieve our liberties and independence. Thousands and hundreds of thousands of families were stripped of their homes and their all, and brought to ruin, by giving credit and confidence to that spurious currency. Stern necessity has prevented the reparation of that great national injustice.

But I forbear. I will no longer trespass upon your patience or further tax my own voice impaired by a speech of more than three hours duration, which professional duty required me to make only a few days ago. If I have been at all successful in the exposition of the views and opinions which I entertain, I have shown—

1st. That the present war was brought about by the annexation of Texas, and the subsequent order of the President, without the previous consent and authority of Congress.

2d. That the President, being unenlightened and un instructed, by any public declaration of Congress, as to the objects for which it ought to be prosecuted—in the conduct of it, is necessarily, left to his own sense, of what the national interests and honor may require.

3d. That the whole war-making power of the nation as to motives, causes and objects is confined by the constitution to the discretion and judgment of Congress.

4th. That it is therefore, the right of Congress, at the commencement or during the progress of any war, to declare for what objects and purposes the war ought to be waged and prosecuted.

5th. That it is the right and duty of Congress to announce to the nation for what objects the present war shall be longer continued; that it is the duty of the President, in the exercise of all his official functions, to conform to carry out this declared will of Congress, by the exercise, if necessary, of all the high powers with which he is clothed; and that, if he fail or refuse to do so, it becomes the imperative duty of Congress to arrest the further progress of the war by the most effective means in its power.

Since that epoch, a scheme of unmixed benevolence has sprung up, which, if it had existed at that time, would have obviated one of the greatest objections which was made to gradual emancipation, which was the continuance of the emancipated slaves to abide among us. That scheme is the American Colonization Society. About twenty-eight years ago, a few individuals, myself among them, met together in the city of Washington, and laid the foundation of that Society. It has gone on, amidst extraordinary difficulties and trials, sustaining itself almost entirely by spontaneous and voluntary contributions, from individual benevolence, without scarcely any aid from government. The Colonies, planted under its auspices, are now well established communities, with churches, schools, and other institutions appropriate to the civilized state. They have made successful war in repelling attacks and invasions by their barbarous and savage neighbors. They have made treaties, annexed territories to their dominion, and are blessed with a free representative Government. I recently read a message from one of their Governors to their Legislature, which, in point of composition, and in careful attention to the affairs of their republic, would compare advantageously with the messages of the Governor of our States. I am not very sanguine, but I do solemnly believe that these Colonies are blessed with the smiles of Providence; and, if we may dare attempt a review of their history, we shall find that they have done more for the practical enjoyment of self-government, and of habits, customs, language, laws and religion, than any nation in the world.

Let congress announce to the nation the objects for which this war shall be further protracted, and public suspense and public inquietude will no longer remain. If it is to be war of conquest of all, or any part of Mexico, let the people know it; and they will be no longer agitated by a dark and uncertain future. But, although I might have foreseen to express any opinion whatever, as to purposes and objects for which the war should be continued, I have not thought proper to conceal my opinions, whether worth anything or not, from the public examination. Accordingly I have stated.

6th. That it seems to me that it is the duty of our country, as well on the score of moderation and magnanimity, as with the view of avoiding discord and discontent at home, to abstain from seeking to conquer and annex to the United States, Mexico or any part of it; and especially to disburse the public mind in any quarter of the Union of the impression, if it any where exists, that a desire for such a conquest is cherished, for the purpose of propagating or extending slavery.

I have embodied, Mr. President and fellow citizens, the sentiments and opinions which I have endeavored to explain and enforce, in a series of resolutions, which I beg now to submit to your consideration and judgment.

It may be argued that, in admitting the injustice of slavery; I admit the necessity of an instantaneous reparation of that injustice. Unfortunately, however, it is not always safe, practicable or possible, in the great movement of States and public affairs of nations, to remedy or repair the infliction of previous injustice. In the inception of it, we may oppose and denounce it by most strenuous exertions, but after its consummation, there is no other alternative left us but to deplore its perpetration, and to acquiesce as the only alternative, in its existence, as a less evil than the frightful consequences which might ensue from the vain endeavor to repair it. Slavery is one of those unfortunate instances. The evil was inflicted upon us by the parent country of Great Britain, against all the entreaties and remonstrances of the colonies. And here it is amongst and amidst us, and we must dispose of it as best we can under all the circumstances which surround us. It continued by the importation of slaves from Africa, in spite of Colonial resistance, for a period of more than a century and a half, and it may require an equal or longer

period to extirpate it. The defendant plead that he was not aware that the Negroes were slaves. They took lodgings without leave in an old cabin on his farm, and as he is not a man of the world, or a money-making man, or an every-one-takes-care-of-himself man, he did not perceive that it was his duty to drive the poor wretches away. The fact is, that Dr. Mitchell is a fanatic, who, in his madness, supposes that all men have a right to live, to enjoy liberty, and pursue happiness—especially if their misery is so intense that it will make them seek happiness by huddling together in a miserable cabin.

All remittances to be made, and all letters relating to the pecuniary affairs of the paper, to be addressed (post paid) to the Publishing Agent. Communications intended for insertion, to be addressed to the Editors.

TERMS:—\$1.00 per annum, in advance, \$1.25 if paid within 3 mos. of the time of subscribing, and \$1.50 if payment be delayed longer than 3 mos.

No subscription received for less than six months, and all payments to be made within 6 mos. of the time of subscribing. Subscriptions for half a year to be made in advance.

no man, or set of men, are entitled to exclusive public emoluments, or privileges from the community," and at the same time ruthlessly plunder one class of her citizens of their rights, and tramples them down, on account of a physical peculiarity, which fosters toward this class, a system of political despotism, the most, wanton, and flagitious, which says to this class, virtually, with a few empty cabin scattered over it, and a pack of half-starved, half-frozen wretched wretches sheltered in his useless tenements, why should he be forced to enact the part of a good landlord, and kick them out of doors? But they are slaves—Slaves! What has Pennsylvania to do with slaves? Must a christian in

Pennsylvania be a slave? Truly, the reputation of the State demands the expunging of this foul stain of despotism from her Constitution, and the obliteration of all corresponding laws from her statute books.

As the case now stands, the colored citizens of this State—amounting to about 3000—are thrust into an attitude of hostility, and made the natural enemies of the State. The Constitution and Laws, by wresting from them their political rights, and degrading them to a condition of political vassalage, transformed the natural friends of the country into its foes. Is this a wise and prudent policy so to treat a certain class of citizens, as to alienate their affections from the State, and foster in their breasts the rabid hatred to make them feel that they have no part or lot in its institutions, and nothing to expect from peace, and everything to hope from revolution? It is a dangerous, an insatiable policy, which, on some emergency of foreign invasion, or intestine commotion, might seal our ruin. The grand aim of all wise legislation will be, to concentrate the patriotic regards of every citizen, and fortify the State with a circling rampart of true, devoted hearts. The father, who should depress and degrade one part of his family, and permit another portion to trample upon the victims of his injustice, would be regarded as a miscreant or a lunatic; and is this not equally true of the larger family of the State? What does Connecticut gain by degrading her colored population? Strength and glory!

The Pyramids of War.

The officers of the army, who have had the best means of ascertaining the loss sustained by our army since the commencement of hostilities on the Rio Grande, put it down at TWENTY-FIVE THOUSAND MEN, whose bodies mingle with the soil of Mexico! Dr. Mitchell has shown himself to be a ridiculous figure, and will pay about fifteen hundred dollars for his simplicity. He has heard Christianity preached all his life, and has been foolish enough to think it ought to be reduced to practice—he has been listening all his life to Fourth of July Orations, praises of Democracy, and will pay about fifteen hundred dollars for his simplicity. He has heard Christianity preached all his life, and has been foolish enough to think it ought to be reduced to practice—he has been listening all his life to Fourth of July Orations, praises of Democracy, and will pay about fifteen hundred dollars for his simplicity. He has heard Christianity preached all his life, and has been foolish enough to think it ought to be reduced to practice—he has been listening all his life to Fourth of July Orations, praises of Democracy, and will pay about fifteen hundred dollars for his simplicity.

1st. That the present war was brought about by the annexation of Texas, and the subsequent order of the President, without the previous consent and authority of Congress.

2d. That the President, being unenlightened and un instructed, by any public declaration of Congress, as to the objects for which it ought to be prosecuted—in the conduct of it, is necessarily, left to his own sense, of what the national interests and honor may require.

3d. That the whole war-making power of the nation as to motives, causes and objects is confined by the constitution to the discretion and judgment of Congress.

4th. That it is therefore, the right of Congress, at the commencement or during the progress of any war, to declare for what objects and purposes the war ought to be waged and prosecuted.

5th. That it is the right and duty of Congress to announce to the nation for what objects the present war shall be longer continued; that it is the duty of the President, in the exercise of all his official functions, to conform to carry out this declared will of Congress, by the exercise, if necessary, of all the high powers with which he is clothed; and that, if he fail or refuse to do so, it becomes the imperative duty of Congress to arrest the further progress of the war by the most effective means in its power.

6th. That it seems to me that it is the duty of our country, as well on the score of moderation and magnanimity, as with the view of avoiding discord and discontent at home, to abstain from seeking to conquer and annex to the United States, Mexico or any part of it; and especially to disburse the public mind in any quarter of the Union of the impression, if it any where exists, that a desire for such a conquest is cherished, for the purpose of propagating or extending slavery.

I have embodied, Mr. President and fellow citizens, the sentiments and opinions which I have endeavored to explain and enforce, in a series of resolutions, which I beg now to submit to your consideration and judgment.

It may be argued that, in admitting the injustice of slavery; I admit the necessity of an instantaneous reparation of that injustice. Unfortunately, however, it is not always safe, practicable or possible, in the great movement of States and public affairs of nations, to remedy or repair the infliction of previous injustice. In the inception of it, we may oppose and denounce it by most strenuous exertions, but after its consummation, there is no other alternative left us but to deplore its perpetration, and to acquiesce as the only alternative, in its existence, as a less evil than the frightful

ness of a night-storm. Do them justice, in the name of Humanity and of that God who is the common Father of all "without respect of persons," do them justice, and permit them to take their appropriate place on the platform of Humanity, and enjoy an equal chance with us, to run the career to which the Creator has appointed them. If complexion must ever be allowed to work a fortification of political rights, let not the innocent black man suffer longer, but let the guilty wretch bear the load on whose face Vice with her polluting fingers, has embazoned the shameful evidence of his crimes. Let the drunkard, the debauchee, and all the profigate miscreants, that now stalk boldly from their haunts of vice to the ballot-box, be repulsed with disgrace, and let virtuous colored men be received there with honor. Let all the negro-hating world understand that the principle of human brotherhood rules in Connecticut, and that the doctrine which has been recognized in all other New England States, has, at length, gained the ascendency within her borders also—that a man is a man, whether his soul is cast in ebony or ivory.

Slavery—Its Ultimate Effects.

Slavery, it is not to be denied, is an essentially barbarous institution. It gives us, too, that sign which is the perpetual distinction of barbarism, that it has no law of progress. The highest level it reaches, is the level at which it begins. Indeed, we need not scruple to allow that it has yielded us one considerable advantage, in virtue of the fact that it produces its best condition first. For while the Northern people were generally delving in labor, for many generations, to create a condition of comfort, Slavery set the masters at once on a footing of ease, gave them leisure for elegant intercourse, for unprofessional studies, and seasoned their character thus with that kind of cultivation which distinguished men of society. A class of statesmen were thus raised up, who were prepared to figure as leaders in scenes of public life, where so much depends on manners and social address. But now the scale is changing. Free labor is rising at length into a state of wealth and comfort to take the lead of American Society. Meanwhile the foster sons of Slavery—the high families, the statesmen—gradually receding in character, as they must under this vicious institution, are receding also in power and influence, and have been ever since the Revolution.

Slavery is a condition against Nature; the curse of Nature, therefore, is on it, and it bows to its doom, by a law as irresistible as gravity. It produces a condition of ease which is not the reward of labor, and a state of degradation which is not the curse of idleness. Therefore the ease it enjoys cannot but end in a curse, and the degradation it suffers cannot rise into a blessing. It nourishes impious and violent passions. It makes the masters solitary sheiks on their estates, forbidding thus the possibility of public schools; and preventing also that condensed form of society, which is necessary to the vigorous maintenance of churches. Education and religion thus displaced, the dinner table only remains, and on this hangs, in great part, the keeping of the soul. The minister very fervently prayed, in which the people fervently responded, that if they were wrong, God would show it to them. I thought I would treat them as sincere persons, and rose to show them where they were deeply in error. But they soon convinced me that their prayers were mockery, and their appeals to God blasphemy; for scarcely had I opened my mouth, before all was confusion and discord. Ministers, class-leaders and members rose on their feet, some crying one thing, some another, the most predominant sound being, "put him out," &c. One of the leading members seized me with both hands, declaring if I did not take my seat, he would drag me out. After a time, the preacher turned me over to "Judge" Cummins, who commanded me to take my seat. I told them they were the assailants, and should take their seats. They did so, and when I had said what I wanted to say, under the circumstances, I took my seat also.

After "Divine worship," I spoke kindly to the brother who seized me in the church, and told him his course was not exactly Christian, when in the presence of several persons, he drew back, put himself in a fighting position, clenched his hand, and said, "If it had not been that I respected the house of the Lord, I would have buried those glasses in your eyes." Never have I seen more of the spirit of hate and revenge exhibited; never did I see a more fully evangelical, or one that seemed so ferocious. You know I have not heretofore formed a very high estimate of that and kindred man-stealing churches, but I really did not think they were so bad.

Still I do not blame the people, they have been taught thus to act. The time will come when even they will feel the cheering light of truth dawning into the dark and gloomy chambers of the soul. The chief priests told the people to crucify Christ, and liberate the thief, and so the same class have put to torture the truth from that hour to this, and will continue the same course so long as their class and craft remain.

In the afternoon friends Selby, Curtis and myself held a meeting in the Wesleyan house, which has always been opened to us without let or hindrance, at which the position and character of the proslavery religion underwent examination. The above meeting had some influence, I trust, in guarding some against the designs of the clergy in holding the protracted meeting. My soul is filled with uttermost loathing when I contemplate the character of the men who lead "Israel's hosts." Well, the people always have been duped by their priests; they seem to love it; it seems almost natural. Perhaps after all, the people were made to be beguiled, and we are fighting against destiny; still it looks wrong.

Yours, W.
November 24th, 1847.

led by a wild and riotous spirit of adventure, which no terms of reason or of Christian prudence and humanity can check. And if this war results, as probably it may, in the acquisition of a vast western territory, then is our greatest pasture ground of barbarism so much to be enlarged, the room to run wild extended, the chances of final anarchy and confusion multiplied.—Dr. Bushnell.

COMMUNICATIONS.

Notes from the Lecturing Field.

In compliance with the request of the Executive Committee, I take up my pen to note some things connected with our labors in the great work of emancipation. For some time past I have been deeply impressed with the thought that could, even, the mass of the members of pro-slavery churches be reached by the truth, they would receive it. Especially if that truth could be presented in the presence of their ministers, or shepherds, and they unable to gainsay or refute it. I knew of but one course to reach the parties alluded to—that was, to go to them; and to gain access to them in that way appeared very doubtful.

There was one way of settling the point, viz. to try them. And as "charity begins at home," I thought it would be well to begin there. A "protracted meeting" was commenced by the Episcopal Methodists the week before we left home, to the "Love-feast" of which friends Selby, Curtis and myself, went—that is, we went to the place where the Love-feast was to be performed. We were each refused admission. I inquired upon what grounds we were refused. The door-keeper said we were improper characters. How so? We had slandered the church, was the reply. I tried to convince him that their "Pastor" (sheep-keeper) had invited all "serious" persons, and would they say that I was not a serious person? He had invited "seekers of religion," and I was seeking religion. But it was no use. The Rev. Archibald, trembling with rage, declared if I was let in, he would not enter. I suppose he thought that if I was allowed inside, the sheep would be scattered and there would be an end both of the *flock* and *multum*.

After a good deal of discussion between the fold-keeper, the shepherd, Mr. McAbee, our friends, &c., they forced the door, pushing me out of the church into the world, in which my house fortunately stands.

At eleven o'clock, we returned to what had been announced as a "public meeting," and there was every evidence that the meeting was destined an important one. There were two ministers in the pulpit, two in the altar, and others in the house, I am informed. During prayer, the minister very fervently prayed, in which the people fervently responded, that if they were wrong, God would show it to them. I thought I would treat them as sincere persons, and rose to show them where they were deeply in error. But they soon convinced me that their prayers were mockery, and their appeals to God blasphemy; for scarcely had I opened my mouth, before all was confusion and discord. Ministers, class-leaders and members rose on their feet, some crying one thing, some another, the most predominant sound being, "put him out," &c.

One of the leading members seized me with both hands, declaring if I did not take my seat, he would drag me out. After a time, the preacher turned me over to "Judge" Cummins, who commanded me to take my seat. I told them they were the assailants, and should take their seats. They did so, and when I had said what I wanted to say, under the circumstances, I took my seat also.

We had not time to do our cause justice in this place, from the fact that when we were driven into a small office, we went to Alexandria and appointed meetings there. Some opposition as well as enquiry was created, which will result in good. We were kindly entertained by Dr. Bancroft and Mr. Hewitt, during part of our visit. This place has seven churches I believe, a Baptist College, a male and female Seminary, as well as other religious appliances. In fact there is so much religion that there is room for nothing else. Especially anything pertaining to humanity. There is quite a Liberty vote here I am informed, and about \$200 is raised annually for the American and Foreign Society, and yet not a place for free discussion. The Liberty party men here as well as nearly everywhere else stigmatize themselves by their unflinching adherence to a pro-slavery church. You may judge of the kind of abolition that abounds here from the following remark of Mr. Ells, who was delegate to the Buffalo Convention, which was corroborated by Dr. Bancroft, the latter being a member of a pro-slavery church himself: "that if the majority of the Abolitionists had to give up either their abolitionism or their pro-slavery churches, they would give up the former."

The day after the date to which our last

referred, we prepared to take our journey into Licking Co., from whence we now write. The heavy rains which have lately fallen made the roads, especially in the hilly districts, almost impassable. After four days hard driving, we found ourselves in Newark, the county seat. On the way we met some of our old friends, who treated us with more kindness than has always fallen to our lot since we had the audacity to "leave the church." The first night from home we were cordially received, and kindly entertained by the family of A. Blair, of Deersville, members of the Wesleyan Church.—Faithful adherence to the slave, rather than sect, does not seem to have affected this family at all. I wish I could say this of every Wesleyan family.

While speaking on this subject, I will mention the Temperance House of Mr. Cary, of Cambridge, the town from which friend Hull, and his paper, were driven by the mob. This is one of the best houses in the country, with one of the most obliging hosts. He is an anti-slavery man, and has had his house mobbed several times since the removal of the "Clarion," which was published in the same building. I hope our anti-slavery there when they travel this way, will keep in mind this Hotel. At Concord, eight miles from Cambridge, we spent a short time with Bro. Hull, of the Clarion. He seems to be one of the most devoted men with whom we are acquainted, aiming at the right, and if he does not hit it, it is because he has unintentionally missed it. We talked with him freely on some points wherein we think he errs, and have strong hopes that friend Hull will some day, not far distant, see his way clear to ascend higher. I know his motto is "Excelsior."

In due time, as heretofore stated, we arrived at the county seat, in and around which, we were to sound the bugle notes of "no union with slaveholders." We scarcely ever felt so lonely. Utter strangers in the place, shivering with cold, and almost covered with mud, we put our horse up at the tavern, and directed our course to the Post office to see if a *stony Bugle*, Liberator or Standard were taken. Nothing of the kind was known, such fanatics made no attempt to disturb the quietude of "Heralds," "Gazettes," &c., in that office.

We called upon several persons, but they declared that they were not abolitionists, neither did they know any in town. At last we found a Mr. Wright, a Lawyer, who was said to be an abolitionist. We hastened to his office, was received by him politely, but on telling our business, Mr. Stanbury, his partner, a large corpulent man and a member of Congress, vociferated, that they "wanted nothing to do with the damned niggers," following this with considerable inventive because we elected James K. Polk, caused the Mexican war, &c. &c. We soon set the savage old fellow right on that score and bid him good day. We could not in all this lovely village find a solitary abolitionist.

We started for Granville, eight miles from Newark, and found W. Wright, brother-in-law to William Steadman, of Randolph, who with his wife received us kindly, and bade us welcome to his house while we might stay in the place. He is a strong Liberty party man, and seemed somewhat afraid of that in office.

The next day (Saturday) we lost no time in seeing those who were called abolitionists—thought from appearances that we could hold meetings on the next day. I got into the Methodist Church in the afternoon, but could get it no more; application was made for the Conference room of the Congregational Church for evening. But the Pastor, Mr. Little, would not consent.

The reason assigned was, that in his New-Year's sermon he had pledged himself not to give us any countenance. We stated in the Methodist Church that as no place could be obtained in Granville in which to plead the slave's cause we should leave the place. Upon this Mr. Ells, a Lawyer, (Liberty party) rose and said he little thought that free discussion was driven into such a fix as we were. The next day (Sunday) we lost no time in seeing those who were called abolitionists—thought from appearances that we could hold meetings on the next day. I got into the Methodist Church in the afternoon, but could get it no more; application was made for the Conference room of the Congregational Church for evening. But the Pastor, Mr. Little, would not consent.

The reason assigned was, that in his New-Year's sermon he had pledged himself not to give us any countenance. We stated in the Methodist Church that as no place could be obtained in Granville in which to plead the slave's cause we should leave the place. Upon this Mr. Ells, a Lawyer, (Liberty party) rose and said he little thought that free discussion was driven into such a fix as we were. The next day (Sunday) we lost no time in seeing those who were called abolitionists—thought from appearances that we could hold meetings on the next day. I got into the Methodist Church in the afternoon, but could get it no more; application was made for the Conference room of the Congregational Church for evening. But the Pastor, Mr. Little, would not consent.

We had not time to do our cause justice in this place, from the fact that when we were driven into a small office, we went to Alexandria and appointed meetings there. Some opposition as well as enquiry was created, which will result in good. We were kindly entertained by Dr. Bancroft and Mr. Hewitt, during part of our visit. This place has seven churches I believe, a Baptist College, a male and female Seminary, as well as other religious appliances. In fact there is so much religion that there is room for nothing else. Especially anything pertaining to humanity. There is quite a Liberty vote here I am informed, and about \$200 is raised annually for the American and Foreign Society, and yet not a place for free discussion. The Liberty party men here as well as nearly everywhere else stigmatize themselves by their unflinching adherence to a pro-slavery church.

You may judge of the kind of abolition that abounds here from the following remark of Mr. Ells, who was delegate to the Buffalo Convention, which was corroborated by Dr. Bancroft, the latter being a member of a pro-slavery church himself: "that if the majority of the Abolitionists had to give up either their abolitionism or their pro-slavery churches, they would give up the former."

Professed Abolitionists remain in corrupt churches in order that they say to reform them until the evil communications corrupt their good manners, they become wedded to their man-stealing church and esteem its existence of greater moment than the freedom and happiness of the whole race. There is not I believe even a "Liberty party abolitionist" occupying a single pulpit in the town; still I am informed that the leading members are Liberty party. The slave has little to hope from men whose religious views will allow them to place the keeping of their souls in the care of men who will plead and vote for the most base of all pirates—men-stealers.—The more I survey the ground, the more deeply am I convinced there is no hope from the churches. They are despots, and the freest of them are composed of despots and slaves—masters and servants—shepherds and sheep. He that will free others must be free himself. Twelve years since Theodore Weld was mobbed out of this place, and the churches are still closed.

Some truth has been scattered by the lectures, some books have been sold and "Hope" waits for the result. Last evening (Tuesday 23d) it rained so incessantly that no meeting was held. To-night and to-morrow night we have meetings at this place (Alexandria). We don't expect much, for the Methodists have just closed a protracted meeting. I find it to be an unvarying fact—that the more of the prevailing piety the people have, the less heart have they for humanity.

go at his bidding to Mexico. Send in your names immediately, that the Committee may know on whom and whom they may depend. A few words to those of our Anti-slavery friends whom we hardly expect to advance their \$10, and then we shall be ready to record the names of volunteers.

Abolitionists! the price of the paper was not reduced to save you from paying the odd fifty cents every year—not at all! We expect you to add another fifty cents to your subscriptions, and have a copy sent to some one who needs the paper for more than you do the money. Don't do as one of our friends did last week, who sent us a letter saying *The Bugle must be sustained*, and then wound up by saying, I want to subscribe for two other papers, and take so many now that you will please discontinue my Bugle.

Mr. Clay admits Slavery to be a great evil and a great wrong; yet clings to his own Slaves, justifies the business of slaveholding, and throws the responsibility upon Great Britain! His morality does not seem at all improved by his conversion to Religion. It would be strange if it were. The slaveholding religion of this nation, inculcates a morality low and debasing enough,

even for Henry Clay.—

The Delaware Abolitionist.

This is the title of a small semi-monthly which the Delaware Anti-slavery Society proposes issuing, the first No. of which is before us.

It will doubtless do some good, and we fear some harm; for if the contributions of its correspondents, and its editorial articles are to be taken as a specimen of its anti-slavery character, we should say it fell far short of the true standard, and if it is the exponent of the Abolition of the Society which publishes it, Delaware anti-slavery needs a new baptism. We do not wish to be captious, but must confess that the pleasure we felt upon the reception of the "Abolitionist" was considerably lessened by an examination of its contents.

Whether it will advocate immediate or gradual emancipation, we are unable to decide, either from the prospectus or from the paper itself—it's sole object appears to be the abolition in Delaware.

One of its correspondents asserts, that Washington, Jefferson, and all other slaveholding as well as non-slaveholding heroes of the Revolution were abolitionists, and he might as well have added the names of Henry Clay, James K. Polk, Hope H. Slater, and other modern heroes, who love liberty for themselves. He says, "they"—these slaveholding abolitionists—"believed slavery to be a great evil, and wished to eradicate it in a just and equitable manner. We wish to do the same thing in a similar way." If slavery in Delaware is to be abolished in the same way that Washington, Jefferson, and Henry abolished it in Virginia, and Pinkney and Haynes in Carolina, or even on their own plantations in those States, we should like some one to tell us how soon the slaves of Delaware will have their freedom.

The following article from its editorial columns we publish entire:

ABOLITION

Is a thing of which very many honest people have a great dread, and very justly too, if their conception of it be true. If abolition means to incite the slaves to insubordination, rebellion, assassination, midnight burnings, and all manner of excesses, then indeed is there good reason to dread it. Then should our people be aroused to exercise the evil spirit from our loved country. That it is what has been described, is the firm belief of many, we are well aware. But how have they obtained their belief? Has it not been by listening to ex parte statements and exaggerated reports? Has it not been by attributing to the mass of those who bear the name of abolitionists, the spirit that has actuated, and the measures which have been adopted by a few ultra fanatics? We opine it has. There never was a reform but what the movers of it would have been as justly styled propagandists and for the same reason. There appears to be an idiosyncrasy in some individuals of the human family, which cause them when waked up to the necessity of a reform, to carry their ideas of it to such a length as to shock the common sense and moral perceptions of the mass. That such individuals have appeared in the garb of abolitionists, it would be useless to deny, but the whole body is no more chargeable with their vagaries, than the people of the United States are chargeable with being drunkards, thieves, or murderers, because there are such to be found in the country. What then is meant by abolition? As we understand it—it is a practical application of the sublime truth promulgated by our fathers, that all men have an inalienable right to liberty. It teaches that because man has such a right, it is wrong to deprive him of it, or withhold it from him. That when he is deprived of his liberty, it is the duty of his holder to restore to him, what are his just rights. That to do right is always the most politic. That to restore the slave to freedom, will promote the interests of the slaveholder, as well as benefit the slave. That slave labor impoverishes, and free labor enriches any country. This is what we mean by abolition, and this is what we shall advocate.

There are some very good things in the above, and some things taken in the connection in which they stand, not very good. The writer evidently wishes to stroke the slaveholder on the back, and make him part his approval of "The Delaware Abolitionist." There is nothing puts the American man-thief in as good a humor with himself and all the world beside—abolitionists excepted—as a talk about these "ultra fanatics," who are so radical in their ideas of reform, "as to shock the common sense and moral perceptions of the mass, especially when it is followed up by a disclaimer of fellowship, not with slaveholders and their abettors, but with these individuals who "have appeared in the garb of abolitionists."

Experience and observation has abundantly

demonstrated, that the reformer who attacks a popular sin, and tells the whole truth concerning it, will necessarily shock, what is called "the common sense and moral perception of the mass." If the sentiments embodied in the foregoing extract are those by which "The Delaware Abolitionist" is to be governed, then, as "the common sense and moral perception of the mass" in this country say that a slaveholding government is a just and righteous government; that a religion which recognizes slaveholders as Christians is a pure and holy religion; that the war with Mexico is a war of necessity and patriotic withdrawal; it of course will consider it highly improper to say against them. But "the common sense and moral perception of the mass" will very patiently tolerate a few flings at the ultra fanatics, the radical abolitionists.

Our thanks to Mrs. Kirkland, for the 1st vol. of "THE UNION MAGAZINE." We have not yet had leisure to give it an much attention as we desire to bestow; but have looked into it here and there, and find we have already copied a number of its articles, although at the time of doing so, we were aware that the Union was edited by Mrs. Kirkland.

Within a few years past there has been a great improvement in the general character of American Monthlies, both in regard to the style of their mechanical execution, and in their literary merit. But there is yet a large and growing class in community whose wants are not supplied; and we believe a Monthly of light literature, as it is called, sustained by contributions from radical reformers, whose every article should have a moral that could not only be seen but felt; whose stories, however much adorned by poetry, should illustrate some truth that should be practical and of every day character, would receive a living support, and accomplish a vast deal of good. A corps of such writers would be, to the cause of reform, like light-armed troops who are efficient where the heavy-clad soldier is useless.

The Union Magazine does not, by any means, come up to this mark; it, however, gives more evidences of approximation to it than any other with which we are acquainted. Mrs. Kirkland is known to the reading public as an interesting and amusing writer, and we intend to give our readers an opportunity of becoming acquainted with her style. She is also, we understand, something of a reformer, and in the list of contributors to the Magazine are the names of several who are well known as the writers of stories of a reformatory character—Lydia Maria Child, T. S. Arthur and others. There is no doubt but the work will be a very excellent one of its kind.

Its typographical execution is of a superior style, and the design of the illustrations generally very fine; we like not, however, the death-scene of Colonel Clay—we should think his friends would desire to have it forgotten how ignobly he died. Besides the frontispiece illustrations, there are more ordinary ones interspersed throughout the work. Among other things, the publishers promise to give fourteen full similes of the characters used in writing as many different languages, which will certainly be valuable to the curious in such matters.

The published terms of the Magazine are, 1 copy \$3, 2 copies \$5, 5 copies \$10.

President's Message.

We have not much space to devote this week to the President's Message. About one-half of it is taken up with a lame defence of the Mexican War; and the stale falsehoods to which he resorts to justify the infamous course of this government toward Mexico will not become much staler to our readers if they do not receive them until next week.

The Message is doubtless sweet music to Southern ears, and is a beautiful specimen of deliberate falsehood, undoubted assurance, and pro-slavery corruption; and evidences strong faith in the continued gullibility of the dear people.

Governor's Message.

This document is before us, and is of commendable brevity. It treats of matters usually spoken of by such functionaries on such occasions, and some things which are not always noticed. Among the topics upon which it treats, are the condition of the State Treasury; the sending of Ohio troops to Mexico; the conduct of the Penitentiary and a reform suggested; the Common School system and an improvement anticipated; acquisition of Mexican territory and the Wilmot Proviso ground taken; and lastly a repeal of the Black Laws which he discusses in the following lines:

"I cannot forget that the 'black laws' still disgrace our statute books. All I can do is earnestly to reiterate the recommendation to their unqualified repeal."

CALLING THINGS BY THEIR RIGHT NAMES, The British and Foreign Anti-Slavery Reporter thus commences an article upon the capture of Mexico:

"The brigands who form the American army, have at length, after a series of bloody battles, become masters of the city of Mexico."

That is right—they are brigands, they deserve no better title whether they bear the musket of a private or a General's commission.

General Items.

The Court of Common Pleas, for Barnstable co. Mass. recently held its annual session. The Judges were there, the Chaplain was there, the Grand Jurors were there, the Petit Jurors were there, the Crier was there, a legion of lawyers was there, but no plaintiff or defendant was there, and the Court had to adjourn for lack of business.

It is said that \$2,000 pounds of poultry passed over the Providence railroad in a single day during thanksgiving week, all destined for the Boston market and designed to assist the people of that city in their appointed devotions.

About 40,000 females are employed in Mass. in manufacturing straw hats, stocks &c. and the amount annually earned by them at this business is nearly \$5,000,000.

Horse-beef has become quite a common article of food in Germany, where it is cheaper than cow-beef. In one city, it is stated that within three months, 132 horses had been killed and eaten.

Hills are now pending in the Legislatures of Vermont and Tennessee to secure to married women their right to the property they hold at time of marriage. This is indicative of the taking of another step toward equal rights.

The New York Mirror says, "The Stage has not furnished half the number of public criminals that the Pulpit has done; and there is hardly an instance on record of a capital crime being committed by a player." If this be true, does it not look as if the influence of the latter was worse than the former?

An apparatus has been introduced into one of the manufactories in Pittsburg, by which the smoke that usually passes out of the chimney is consumed. The general introduction of such in every factory would be a real blessing to that smoke blackened city, and would convince the good people there that atmospheric air is quite as wholesome in its ordinary state, as when thickened by the addition of one fourth coal smoke. The establishment into which the apparatus is introduced, saves by it twenty-five bushels of coal per day. In this case it appears that economy and cleanliness go hand in hand.

The press upon which the New York Sun is printed throws off at the rate of twelve thousand impressions per hour.

General Sam Houston has been nominated for President of the United States, by a Democratic Convention in Texas. He is, without doubt, amply qualified for the office.

RAIN! RAIN!! RAIN!!!—All the people round about have had an excellent opportunity of realizing the meaning of the phrase "the rainy season." If the dwellers within the topics have any more rain on such occasions than has fallen here this winter, we pity them. We have had rain in the morning, rain at noon, and rain at night, and rain all night. The amount of rain that has fallen within a few days has been so great, that destruction to property, and perchance to life must ensue from the freshet it has produced. We shall probably hear of the almost universal destruction of mill-dams, the sweeping away of bridges, and all the train of disasters that come with the sweeping tide of a tremendous freshet.

Oh! for a month of clear, cold sky; with a keen frosty air to make the blood dance gaily through one's veins and brace the nerves.

THE ALBATROSS, a Liberty party paper which was recently started in Pittsburg, has been discontinued for want of patronage after reaching its 10th No.

Had professed reformers but half as much worldly wisdom as those who oppose them, their newspapers would not be suffered to languish for want of support. Among the first lessons which Whigs and Democrats, and all the sectarians learn, is the necessity of sustaining the papers which advocate their views and disseminate their principles. But with all classes of reformers, the very last thing the great mass of them dream of, is the need of sustaining the press which gives its support to their movement, and with too many it is only a *dream* after all. However much wiser these reformers may be than their brethren in some things, they certainly exhibit a most woful deficiency in the matter referred to.

ROBERT C. WINSTROP was elected Speaker of the House of Representatives. At last session of Congress he voted for a resolution which he acknowledged to be a lie, and voted to Polk all the men and money that was asked for the Mexican war. He is greatly distinguished for his subversiveness to the slave power; and the privileged South could not have selected a Northern man more fully imbued with Southern principles when his interest demanded it. He is a fit tool to do their dirty work, and we wish he may receive a righteous recompence for the bargain he has made.

Nothing of special importance from Mexico. Some battles with the guerrillas are noted, and one or two attempted revolutions.

We have had no mail from the North since Friday night—that due on Monday had not arrived when we went to press.

It was stated in a previous number, that the expenses of Wm. Lloyd Garrison's illness at Cleveland, were \$100; and an invitation was extended to those who wished to aid in defraying them, to send their donations to the Treasurer of the Western Anti-Slavery Society—such contributions to be acknowledged through the columns of the Bugle.

Amount previously acknowledged \$35.50
Mrs. Daniel R. Tilden, Ravenna, 1.00

\$36.50
J. ELIZABETH JONES,
Treasurer.

Our thanks to the unknown friend who sent us a copy of Theodore Parker's letter to the Boston Association of Congregational Ministers. The Association seems to be in rather a tight place, and if they attempt to answer the question contained in the letter, in language which the people can understand, they will place themselves in a very strange position before the reflecting portion of community.

"EXTREMES WILL MEET." One of our mails of last week brought us an illustration of the truth of this proverb in the shape of "The Reporter," an occasional Liberty party daily from New Lisbon, and "The National Intelligencer," a weekly Whig paper from Washington. The type of the former, by accurate measurement, covers just 52 square inches; that of the latter 31 3/8!

THE PHONOGRAPHIC COMET, Vol. 1, No. 1, is received. It consists of twelve pages, which appear to be well executed, and handsomely done up in cover. Published monthly by E. Webster & F. G. Adams, Cincinnati. Terms \$1 per year.

THE LIBERTY LEAGUE was to hold a convention at Seneca Falls, N. Y., on the 15th, 16th, & 17th inst. The committee which called the meeting, say it was made necessary by the degeneracy of Liberty party.

PRINCE ITURIDE, the individual whom it has been proposed to place on the Mexican throne, bears a Major's commission in the Mexican army, and is now in Cincinnati as a prisoner of war.

In South Carolina, the slaves number 145 to every 100 whites; in Mississippi 109 to 100. In no other State of the Union are the slaves numerically equal to the white population.

The Elective Franchise.

"OLD PATHS," a correspondent of the Evangelical Repository, with whom our readers have had some acquaintance, concludes an article as follows:

"In this day of my perplexity it occurred to me that, at the time the constitution was framed, it was distinctly understood by the framers of it that slavery should be of short continuance, and that it would soon pass out through state legislation; and, in view of this, the constitution was so worded that it need not be altered when slavery had ceased to exist in the states. I therefore came to the conclusion that the time had elapsed, and that slavery had no constitutional standing either in the general or state governments, as such existence would be in violation of the understanding of the framers of the constitution, which understanding is evident from the history of those times, and from the face of the constitution itself. And I have also concluded to vote for no man who would not come to the same conclusion, although he might not adopt my premises in arriving at it. Nor would I support any man who would not make known this his determination to the administrator of the oath at the time of the administration of it."

This difficulty arose from the fact that in the late trial of Dr. Mitchell, in Pittsburgh, for aiding a fugitive, Judge Grier in his charge, gave the following interpretation of the Constitution:—"We might feed," said he, "the hungry, &c., but that if we did any act, the natural tendency of which was to let or hinder the pursuing master in reclaiming his escaping slave, knowing him to be a slave, we violated the constitution and law, and subjected ourselves to the penalties."

In nearly every respect, this view of "Old Paths" appears to us untenable. 1st. He does not say that the framers of the Constitution supposed it would disappear through the operation of any Constitutional principle; but on the contrary, he admits that it was looked for "through State Legislation." Of course, he must admit that in the apprehension of the framers, there was no provision in the constitution for the removal of slavery; had there been, they would have anticipated its removal as a consequence of such provision. Anticipating this "through state legislation," of course they understood it to be left to state control: the constitution was not made for slaves. In making this admission, this writer saps his own foundations. 2d. By what right does "Old Paths" fix the time when slavery was expected to disappear? "I came to the conclusion"—On what grounds? If the evil was to be remedied by "state legislation" was not the time left to their option? Or does he adopt the absurd idea that the states were actually bound in adopting the constitution to abolish slavery, and that in a given time? But even granting this, how does he know that the majority had fixed upon fifty-eight years, and that the minority had given their consent? Yet all this he must know, or his conclusion is premature. 3d. Is not this to extend the authority of the understanding of the framers, to a most unwarrantable extent? We grant, we hold that the views of the framers, and of the country when the constitution was adopted, are highly useful in ascertaining its true meaning. We are ever suspicious of any logic which rejects this method of reasoning. But we cannot go farther. The doctrine of "Old Paths" makes no understanding of the framers an additional article of the Constitution.

* This we believe to be the true view of the Constitution.

tion, an article by which the slave states were as much bound as by the written ones, for he expressly says that inasmuch as they have not conformed to it, slavery in them, is now "unconstitutional." This "understanding" was then an *unwritten* article to which the parties assented. 4th. If his doctrine be the right one, "Old Paths" does not go far enough, he ought to demand a pledge of candidates, that they will *repeal* the states to emancipate according to the constitution, or he proceeded with as other violators of this fundamental law. Is he ready for this? We think not. If slavery was constitutional sixty years ago, he has too much sense to imagine that it is now so unconstitutional that we might send our armies and compel them to emancipate.

We agree with this writer that the subject of voting under the constitution is greatly agitating the religious community and is increasing daily. And we feel assured that it will not be long before a "great company" of such men as "Old Paths," will be found disengaged from all the mazes of error in reference to it, and taking the true and solid ground to which many have already come up—that the constitution is a pro-slavery document, and cannot be consistently sworn to by the Christian.—Covenanter.

What are we Fighting for?

For now more than eighteen months our armies have been whipping the Mexicans and overrunning their country. According to the President, we commenced fighting to repel invasion. That repelled, we continued to fight to recover some two or three millions of debts due from the government of Mexico to citizens of this government. Next we fought to "conquer a peace." At length we have fought the life all out of our victim. The whole Mexican nation lies prostate at our feet, and still we fight. Still new soldiers are being enlisted, and still reign blood and carnage, in Mexico.

Why is this? What do our government seek? Revenge for past injuries? And have they not drunk of revenge to their fill? Have they not shamed their thirst for vengeance in the very heart's blood of the Mexican Republic? Seek they military glory? And have they not garnered all that that nation affords? Can the robbery and slaughter of defenseless men, women and children, add further to the glory of our nation? Do the government seek indemnity for the expenses of the war? Have they not disabled Mexico, so that she can offer no indemnity? And will not her disability increase with every moment's continuance of the war? Seek we territory? In the name of Heaven, why not then take all we want? The Mexicans can offer no resistance. If we are resolved to extend Texas to the Rio Grande, why, then take it—extend our laws over it, and if we need be put our army there to defend it. The same of California and New Mexico. If the administration are determined to have them, why don't they take them, and let the rest of Mexico—lose?

We wish some apologist for this war would tell us and the country the precise object for which it is to be still further prosecuted. Already it has cost the nation more men and more money than the last war with Great Britain. Already has it involved us in a debt which a century will not wipe out. And still, if we may believe what we hear and see around us, we are nearer any visible end than when we first began. So far as the wisest man can see, without an entire change of policy there is no prospect of its coming to an end. If the government were sincere in their desire for peace, they would have had it long ago. The late negotiation might have closed the war, and would have done so, had our commissioner not insisted on the cession of territory, which however came to us, except Upper California, which ought ever to be annexed to this country. And that the Mexican commissioners offered to cede to our government in the late negotiation. But California was not adapted to the purposes of slavery, and the offer was rejected. The war having been commenced and waged thus far for the purpose of opening new fields for that accursed institution, the administration are evidently determined that no peace shall be made which will not accrue to its benefit.

For this, then, it is that we are fighting? Not for the honor of the country—not for glory, nor avenging the wrongs we have suffered at the hands of the people or government of Mexico, are we fighting. Not to conquer a peace.

Not are we fighting, as our fathers fought, for freedom and the rights of man.

Instead we are rallying under the black flag of slavery. Our barbarous neighbors have converted their entire soil to universal liberty. It is the fundamental law of that poor ill-fated republic, that a man is a man everywhere and not a chattel. To annul that law we are carrying death and slaughter, fire and rapine, through all her borders. To abolish freedom and free labor, and plant, instead, chains and slavery upon the free green hills and valleys of Mexico, do we sacrifice thousands of men and millions of money. We ask, we entreat honest men, patriotic men of all parties to consider carefully and prayerfully, if this be not so! Does the honor, glory or welfare of the country demand a further and indefinite prosecution of the present war? Do the interests of the people require it? Does any interest in the country require it, save the great interest of human slavery? We pause for a reply.

And yet, infamous as are the objects and the purpose of the war, no dog may wag his tongue against it. The president has made it. Congress have endorsed it, and the people are slaves.

To speak of it as a great wrong against Mexico and fraught with untold dangers to our country, is to be a traitor, and to deserve the traitor's doom. In the name of all that is free on earth and just in Heaven, how long shall the free laboring millions of this boasted land of freedom be led like sheep to the slaughter, and shrink from opening their mouths against a conspiracy to rob them of their birthright, and make them "hewers of wood and drawers of water" to the foulest despotism that curses the world? How long will we consent to see our fellow-men shipped like coffled slaves to do work over which the demons of the pit can alone rejoice? How long are the free, christian men of our republic, aye, and the women too, shall arouse to their danger, and demand, in the name of God, Humanity and their country, that the sword of slavery be sheathed, and sheathed forever? As "He who rules in Heaven and among the inhabitants of the earth" is just, and will not let his justice sleep forever! As "He who rules in Heaven and among the inhabitants of the earth" is just, and will not let his justice sleep forever, this nation must repent and re-

turn from its present career of oppression, violence, and blood, or share the fate of former republics, whose rulers have oppressed man and forgotten God.—*Independent Democrat.*

The Liberty Herald—Coming Right.

We send the following disclaimer of what may be termed the ultra anti-slavery interpretation of the U. S. Constitution, that given by Goodell, Bradburn, Spooner, and Gerrit Smith. Of their doctrine the Herald says:

"In our own apprehension, the anti-slavery construction of the United States Constitution, stretched to the extent of this proposition, is utterly untenable. The labor and learning of its advocates give us no light and affords us no pleasure; indeed, their failures are so complete that we are sorry for the attempt—sorry that they did not at first take true ground and direct the public mind, as they might have done by this time, into the right attitude toward this vast question; for it really involves the whole philosophy of our government and all the duties and relations of citizenship."

What the Herald considers "the right views" we cannot, after all, clearly discern. Does it admit that the Constitution throws it into slavery "properly" even when it has made its escape to the free States? That it demands the surrender of fugitives? Or does it belong to that class which attempts to explain away this provision of the Constitution!—Covenanter.

THE MEXICAN WAR.—There is an old story which has been often told, and with which every body is familiar, but which, nevertheless, will bear repeating. In one of the European wars, a detachment of the British army found itself in the vicinity of a body of Tartars, to whom they were opposed. Two Irish soldiers being sent upon a scouting-party in sight of a detachment of Tartars, and immediately commenced a retreat toward the camp. Teague, being less nimble than Pat, fell in the rear, and soon shouted to his companion, "I say Pat, hollo! I have caught a Tartar." "Well, bring him along," "I can't." "Well, leave him then, and I come along." "An' faith, so would," says Teague, "but he won't let me."

Our administration finds itself very much in the situation that Teague was. In engaging in the Mexican war they have caught a Tartar that will not let them go. At a sacrifice of more than a hundred millions of dollars, and of twenty thousand human lives, they have penetrated to the city of Mexico. They find themselves in the midst of a large, exasperated, and bitterly hostile population, without having gained a single point, except possession of just so much ground as they stand upon. They cannot, at this moment, make any good terms with the Mexicans, as they could have done before the war was commenced. Every new conquest they make involves us in a new expenditure of money and a new waste of human life, without yielding any return except the barren possession. All the territory we gain is, to us, self-righteousness, the more we have of it the worse we are off. It will be a constant drain upon our treasury, as Algiers is upon that of France. Dissimilar as the people are to us, and animated by the invertebrate hate, which our aggressions upon them have produced, they never will assimilate with us, and, notwithstanding over them, if maintained at all, must be at the point of the bayonet.

Such is the condition in which we are placed, and by our own act. That act is one of not only great wickedness but of consummate folly. Shall we persevere in it, and make a bad matter worse? That were folly still more consummate. We believe that the judgement of the people on this point is right, and we think they ought to speak out in tones which will be respected by their rulers. On them lies the responsibility and they ought to feel it.—*Mass. Spy.*

JAMES CANNING FULLER.—We hear with extreme regret, of the death of this good man. The slave had no warmer friend, and the cause of reform generally, no more sincere advocate. Mr

